

|                     |   |                 |           |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|-----------|
| <b>AGENCY NAME:</b> | <b>Commission on Prosecution Coordination</b> |                 |           |
| <b>AGENCY CODE:</b> | <b>E210</b>                                   | <b>SECTION:</b> | <b>60</b> |

|                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>ACCOUNTABILITY OF FUNDS</b> | <p>Strategy: Provide administrative support for the Offices of Solicitor</p> <p>Accountability: Additional recurring funding is needed to support the Pay Band 4 midpoint salary for the Circuit Solicitors' state-funded administrative assistant provided for pursuant to Section 1-7-325 of the 1976 Code.</p> |
|--------------------------------|---|

*What specific strategy, as outlined in the FY 2019-20 Strategic Planning and Performance Measurement template of agency's accountability report, does this funding request support? How would this request advance that strategy? How would the use of these funds be evaluated?*

|                            |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| <b>RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS</b> | <p>\$74,642 recurring funds (\$59,881 Salary/\$14,761 Fringe) will support salary increases for the Circuit Solicitors' state-funded administrative assistant positions to the midpoint salary for Pay Band 4 of \$39,228.</p> |
|----------------------------|--|

*What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?*

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| <b>JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST</b> | <p>\$74,642 in recurring funds (\$59,881 Salary/\$14,761 Fringe) will support salary increases for the Circuit Solicitors' state-funded administrative assistant positions to the midpoint salary for Pay Band 4 of \$39,228. The 16 Circuit Solicitor Administrative Assistants are provided for pursuant to Section 1-7-325 of the 1976 Code. There are no available funds in the Circuit Solicitors' allocation for administrative assistant salaries (currently \$597,211) to increase salaries to midpoint pay. If funds are not received, the Circuit Solicitors will have difficulty retaining and finding a qualified administrative assistant for the position.</p> |
|---------------------------------|--|

*Please thoroughly explain the request to include the justification for funds, potential offsets, matching funds, and method of calculation. Please include any explanation of impact if funds are not received. Does this non-recurring appropriation request create an annualization or need for recurring funds?*

|                     |   |                 |    |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|----|
| <b>AGENCY NAME:</b> | SC Commission on Prosecution Coordination |                 |    |
| <b>AGENCY CODE:</b> | E210                                      | <b>SECTION:</b> | 60 |

**FORM B1 – RECURRING OPERATING REQUEST**

|                        |    |
|------------------------|----|
| <b>AGENCY PRIORITY</b> | 13 |
|------------------------|----|

*Provide the Agency Priority Ranking from the Executive Summary.*

|              |  |
|--------------|--|
| <b>TITLE</b> | <b>Funding of Proviso 117.61: Prosecutors and Defenders Public Service Incentive Program</b> |
|--------------|--|

*Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.*

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| <b>AMOUNT</b> | <b>General: \$500,000</b><br><b>Federal: \$0</b><br><b>Other: \$0</b><br><b>Total: \$500,000</b> |
|---------------|--|

*What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2020-21? This amount should correspond to the total for all funding sources on the Executive Summary.*

|                      |   |
|----------------------|---|
| <b>NEW POSITIONS</b> | 0 |
|----------------------|---|

*Please provide the total number of new positions needed for this request.*

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST</b> | <b>Mark "X" for all that apply:</b>                    |   |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>                               | Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>                               | Change in case load/enrollment under existing program guidelines          |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>                               | Non-mandated change in eligibility/enrollment for existing program        |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>                               | Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas                    |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>                               | Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative                     |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>                               | Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program  |
|  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>                    | Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program            |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>                               | IT Technology/Security related  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                   | Consulted DTO during development                       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                   | Related to a Non-Recurring request – If so, Priority # |   |

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <b>STATEWIDE ENTERPRISE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES</b> | <b>Mark "X" for primary applicable Statewide Enterprise Strategic Objective:</b> |  |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>   | Education, Training, and Human Development     |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>   | Healthy and Safe Families                      |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>   | Maintaining Safety, Integrity, and Security    |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/>   | Public Infrastructure and Economic Development |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>              | Government and Citizens  |  |

|                     |  |                 |           |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|
| <b>AGENCY NAME:</b> | <b>SC Commission on Prosecution Coordination</b> |                 |           |
| <b>AGENCY CODE:</b> | <b>E210</b>                                      | <b>SECTION:</b> | <b>60</b> |

|                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>ACCOUNTABILITY OF FUNDS</b> | <p>Strategy: Provide administrative support for the Offices of Solicitor through the delivery of quality human resources, state funding, and budgetary assistance for the sixteen Circuit Solicitors and their administrative assistants (one assistant per Circuit Solicitor); and assistance with efforts that allow Circuit Solicitors to retain experienced prosecutors in lower-paying public service positions compared with similar private positions, such as: (A) requesting funding for the Circuit Solicitors' Three-Year Caseload Equalization and Prosecutor Retention Plan, (B) reinstating funding for existing legislative measures on student loan assistance/forgiveness, and (C) applying for and administering federal grants that aid prosecutors with student loan debt.</p> <p>Accountability: Reinstating funding for Proviso 117.61, which establishes the Prosecution and Defenders Public Service Initiative Program, will contribute to the successful operation of the Offices of Solicitor by reducing prosecutor turnover rates and enhancing the level of experience contained within the Offices of Solicitor.</p> |
|--------------------------------|---|

*What specific strategy, as outlined in the FY 2019-20 Strategic Planning and Performance Measurement template of agency's accountability report, does this funding request support? How would this request advance that strategy? How would the use of these funds be evaluated?*

|                            |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| <b>RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS</b> | <p>Pursuant to Proviso 117.61, funding will be distributed to full-time attorneys at the Office of Attorney General, Commission on Prosecution Coordination, Commission on Indigent Defense, a Circuit Solicitor's Office or a Circuit Public Defender's Office who have demonstrated a commitment to public service and are deserving of an award to lessen the financial strain of student loan debt. Administrative costs paid with funds appropriated.</p> |
|----------------------------|--|

*What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?*

|                     |  |                 |           |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|
| <b>AGENCY NAME:</b> | <b>SC Commission on Prosecution Coordination</b> |                 |           |
| <b>AGENCY CODE:</b> | <b>E210</b>                                      | <b>SECTION:</b> | <b>60</b> |

**JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

The SCCPC requests reinstatement of funding for existing Proviso 117.61 to provide law school student loan reimbursements for certain South Carolina public service attorneys. When funded, the proviso was a primary driver for the attraction and retention of prosecutors and public defenders who could demand higher paying positions in the private sector but that have a passion for public service. Awards assisted these full-time attorneys by lessening the financial strain of student loan debt. Utilization of these awards to retain talented and experienced attorneys also improved efficiency within the hiring agencies by reducing the time and cost of hiring and training attorneys to replace lost experience. The program is available, subject to funding, for full-time attorneys with more than three years of continuous service with the Office of Attorney General, Commission on Prosecution Coordination, Commission on Indigent Defense, a Circuit Solicitor's Office or a Circuit Public Defender's Office. Qualifying attorneys may be reimbursed up to \$1,000 of student loan payments made in the preceding calendar year, and subsequent annual reimbursements made be increased by up to \$1,000, not to exceed a total reimbursement of \$5,000 in any year.

*Please thoroughly explain the request to include the justification for funds, potential offsets, matching funds, and method of calculation. Please include any explanation of impact if funds are not received. If new positions have been requested, explain why existing vacancies are not sufficient.*



**W&M Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice Subcommittee FY 21 Proviso Request Summary**

**S.C. Commission on Prosecution Coordination (SCCPC)**

| Proviso # for FY 20-21 Act | Proviso Title                                  | Short Summary  | Agency Recommended Action (keep, change, delete, add) |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| 60.tf (New)                | PCC: Solicitor Technology Funding Distribution | Direct new funding requested and allocated in FY 21 for Circuit Solicitor Prosecution Case Management Systems & IT Infrastructure be apportioned in equal amounts to each of the 16 circuits. Funding distributions shall be requested by the circuit solicitor and approved by the Commission. Circuit allocations must first be distributed for the acquisition and implementation of a web-based prosecution case management system. The system must be capable of interfacing with other web-based platforms and satisfy any other requirements established by the Commission. Unexpended program funds from the prior fiscal year may be carried forward into the current fiscal year to be used for the same purposes.   | ADD   |
| 60.11                      | PCC: Caseload Equalization Funding             | <p>Existing proviso requires distribution of Caseload Equalization funding as follows: \$3,450,000 distributed at an amount of \$75,000 per county, and the remaining \$4,376,872 distributed based upon the average incoming caseload for each county as reported by the Judicial Department for the prior three fiscal years.</p> <p><b>SCCPC AMENDMENT REQUEST:</b> AMEND proviso to increase the amounts referenced based on new funding requested and allocated in FY 21 for Caseload Equalization and Prosecutor Retention. The distributions under the existing proviso will remain intact. For additional new recurring funding of \$9,906,000 for Caseload Equalization the first \$2,496,000 shall be distributed at an amount of \$156,000 per circuit. The remaining \$7,410,000 shall be distributed based upon the average incoming caseload for each county as reported by the Judicial Department for the prior three fiscal years. Based on the distribution of the new recurring funding, the adjusted total recurring appropriation (\$17,732,872) will be distributed such that \$3,450,000 will be distributed at an amount of \$75,000 per <u>county</u>, \$2,496,000 shall be distributed at an amount of \$156,000 per <u>circuit</u>, and the remaining \$11,786,872 shall be distributed based upon the average incoming caseload for each county as reported by the Judicial Department for the prior three fiscal years.</p> | AMEND   |

**60.12** PCC: Summary Court Domestic Violence Fund Distribution Existing proviso requires distribution of Summary Court Domestic Violence Prosecution funding based upon ten percent of the average incoming caseload for each county, as reported by the South Carolina Judicial Department for the prior 3 fiscal years. AMEND

**SCCPC AMENDMENT REQUEST:** AMEND proviso to correct the formula for distribution from a fraction of the average incoming caseload (10%) to the entire average caseload (100%), consistent with the distribution of funding in Proviso 60.11 for Caseload Equalization. This is a technical amendment only and does not affect calculations or distributions.

**60.4** PCC: Solicitor Carry Forward Existing proviso provides that carry forward funds may be expended for the operation of the solicitor's office relating to operational expenses. AMEND

**SCCPC AMENDMENT REQUEST:** AMEND proviso to recognize that carry forward funds may be used for the operation of the Commission on Prosecution Coordination or the Offices of Solicitor. This is a technical amendment only and does not affect calculations or distributions.

**60.pt (New)** PCC: Exemption for Pass Through Funding Exempt pass through funding distributed by the Commission on Prosecution Coordination that is allocated for the South Carolina Center for Fathers and Families or other community program, and not for the Offices of Solicitor, from budget reduction calculations. ADD

\$400,000 in general fund recurring appropriations is included within the Commission's budget for direct pass-through distribution to a non-state entity, the South Carolina Center for Fathers and Families. This funding is not pursuant to a contract for services between the South Carolina Center for Fathers and Families and either the Commission or the Offices of Solicitor. Therefore, the Commission requests that pass-through appropriations included in its budget for distribution to the South Carolina Center for Fathers and Families or other community program not be considered part of the Commission's budget for purposes of calculating any budget reductions. The South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense has a similar budget proviso, Proviso 61.8, regarding pass through funds for the Legal Services Corporation.

|                     |  |                 |           |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|
| <b>AGENCY NAME:</b> | <b>SC Commission on Prosecution Coordination</b> |                 |           |
| <b>AGENCY CODE:</b> | <b>E210</b>                                      | <b>SECTION:</b> | <b>60</b> |

## FORM D – PROVISIO REVISION REQUEST

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| <b>NUMBER</b>                    | <b>60.tf (NEW)</b><br><i>Cite the proviso according to the renumbered list for FY 2020-21 (or mark "NEW").</i>  |
| <b>TITLE</b>                     | <b>PCC: Solicitor Technology Funding Distribution</b><br><i>Provide the title from the FY 2020-21 Appropriations Act or suggest a short title for any new request.</i>  |
| <b>BUDGET PROGRAM</b>            | <b>II. Offices of Circuit Solicitors-Special Items</b><br><i>Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.</i>  |
| <b>RELATED BUDGET REQUEST</b>    | <b>8. &amp; 9. Circuit Solicitor Prosecution Case Management Systems &amp; IT Infrastructure</b><br><i>Is this request associated with a budget request you have submitted for FY 2020-21? If so, cite it here.</i>   |
| <b>REQUESTED ACTION</b>          | <b>Add</b><br><i>Choose from: Add, Delete, Amend, or Codify.</i>  |
| <b>OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED</b>   | None<br><i>Which other agencies would be affected by the recommended action? How?</i>   |
| <b>SUMMARY &amp; EXPLANATION</b> | <b>ADD - Proviso 60.tf (PCC: Technology Funding Distribution)</b> Direct new funding requested and allocated in FY 21 for Circuit Solicitor Prosecution Case Management Systems & IT Infrastructure be apportioned in equal amounts to each of the 16 circuits. Funding distributions shall be requested by the circuit solicitor and approved by the Commission. Circuit allocations must first be distributed for the acquisition and implementation of a web-based prosecution case management system. The system must be capable of interfacing with other web-based platforms and satisfy any other requirements established by the Commission. Unexpended program funds from the prior fiscal year may be carried forward into the current fiscal year to be used for the same purposes.<br><br><i>Summarize the existing proviso. If requesting a new proviso, describe the current state of affairs without it. Explain the need for your requested action. For deletion requests due to recent codification, please identify SC Code section where language now appears.</i> |
| <b>FISCAL IMPACT</b>             | For FY 21, the general fund fiscal impact is \$4,313,000. For FY 22 and beyond, the general fund fiscal impact is \$1,609,600. There is no impact to federal or other funds.<br><br>\$2,704,000 non-recurring funds will be distributed pro-rata to the Offices of Solicitor (\$169,000 per circuit) for the acquisition and implementation of web-based Prosecution Case Management Systems to replace existing case management systems that are antiquated and lack interface capabilities. Funding is based on the average cost of licensing and system conversion per circuit. Remaining funds will be used by the Circuit  |



|                     |   |                 |           |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|-----------|
| <b>AGENCY NAME:</b> | <b>Commission on Prosecution Coordination</b> |                 |           |
| <b>AGENCY CODE:</b> | <b>E210</b>                                   | <b>SECTION:</b> | <b>60</b> |

### FORM D – PROVISIO REVISION REQUEST

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| <b>NUMBER</b>                    | <b>60.11</b><br><i>Cite the proviso according to the renumbered list for FY 2020-21 (or mark "NEW").</i>   |
| <b>TITLE</b>                     | <b>PCC: Caseload Equalization Funding</b><br><i>Provide the title from the FY 2019-20 Appropriations Act or suggest a short title for any new request.</i>   |
| <b>BUDGET PROGRAM</b>            | <b>II. Offices of Circuit Solicitors-Special Items</b><br><i>Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.</i>   |
| <b>RELATED BUDGET REQUEST</b>    | <b>10. &amp; 11. Circuit Solicitor 1/2 Funding for Caseload Equalization &amp; Prosecutor Retention</b><br><i>Is this request associated with a budget request you have submitted for FY 2020-21? If so, cite it here.</i>   |
| <b>REQUESTED ACTION</b>          | <b>Amend</b><br><i>Choose from: Add, Delete, Amend, or Codify.</i>   |
| <b>OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED</b>   | None<br><i>Which other agencies would be affected by the recommended action? How?</i>  |
| <b>SUMMARY &amp; EXPLANATION</b> | <p><b>AMEND – Proviso 60.11 (PCC: Caseload Equalization Funding)</b> Existing proviso requires distribution of Caseload Equalization funding as follows: \$3,450,000 distributed at an amount of \$75,000 per county, and the remaining \$4,376,872 distributed based upon the average incoming caseload for each county as reported by the Judicial Department for the prior three fiscal years.</p> <p><b>SCCPC REQUEST:</b> AMEND proviso to increase the amounts referenced based on new funding requested and allocated in FY 21 for Caseload Equalization and Prosecutor Retention. The distributions under the existing proviso will remain intact. For additional new recurring funding of \$9,906,000 for Caseload Equalization the first \$2,496,000 shall be distributed at an amount of \$156,000 per circuit. The remaining \$7,410,000 shall be distributed based upon the average incoming caseload for each county as reported by the Judicial Department for the prior three fiscal years. Based on the distribution of the new recurring funding, the adjusted total recurring appropriation (\$17,732,872) will be distributed such that \$3,450,000 will be distributed at an amount of \$75,000 per <u>county</u>, \$2,496,000 shall be distributed at an amount of \$156,000 per <u>circuit</u>, and the remaining \$11,786,872 shall be distributed based upon the average incoming caseload for each county as reported by the Judicial Department for the prior three fiscal years.</p> <p>Additional non-recurring funding for FY 21 only (\$381,000) shall be distributed with the first \$192,000 distributed at an amount of \$6,000 per <u>circuit</u>. The remaining \$285,000 shall be distributed based upon the average incoming caseload for each county as reported by the Judicial Department for the prior three fiscal years.</p> |

|                     |   |                 |           |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|-----------|
| <b>AGENCY NAME:</b> | <b>Commission on Prosecution Coordination</b> |                 |           |
| <b>AGENCY CODE:</b> | <b>E210</b>                                   | <b>SECTION:</b> | <b>60</b> |

### FORM D – PROVISO REVISION REQUEST

|               |              |
|---------------|--------------|
| <b>NUMBER</b> | <b>60.12</b> |
|---------------|--------------|

*Cite the proviso according to the renumbered list for FY 2020-21 (or mark "NEW").*

|              |   |
|--------------|---|
| <b>TITLE</b> | <b>PCC: Summary Court Domestic Violence Fund Distribution</b> |
|--------------|---|

*Provide the title from the FY 2019-20 Appropriations Act or suggest a short title for any new request.*

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| <b>BUDGET PROGRAM</b> | <b>II. Offices of Circuit Solicitors-Special Items</b> |
|-----------------------|--|

*Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.*

|                               |             |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| <b>RELATED BUDGET REQUEST</b> | <b>None</b> |
|-------------------------------|-------------|

*Is this request associated with a budget request you have submitted for FY 2020-21? If so, cite it here.*

|                         |              |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| <b>REQUESTED ACTION</b> | <b>Amend</b> |
|-------------------------|--------------|

*Choose from: Add, Delete, Amend, or Codify.*

|                                |             |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| <b>OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED</b> | <b>None</b> |
|--------------------------------|-------------|

*Which other agencies would be affected by the recommended action? How?*

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| <b>SUMMARY &amp; EXPLANATION</b> | <p><b>AMEND – Proviso 60.12 (PCC: Summary Court Domestic Violence Fund Distribution)</b> Existing proviso requires distribution of Summary Court Domestic Violence Prosecution funding based upon ten percent of the average incoming caseload for each county, as reported by the South Carolina Judicial Department for the prior 3 fiscal years.</p> <p><b>SCPC REQUEST:</b> AMEND proviso to correct the formula for distribution from a fraction of the average incoming caseload (10%) to the entire average caseload (100%), consistent with the distribution of funding in Proviso 60.11 for Caseload Equalization. This is a technical amendment only and does not affect calculations or distributions.</p> |
|----------------------------------|---|

*Summarize the existing proviso. If requesting a new proviso, describe the current state of affairs without it. Explain the need for your requested action. For deletion requests due to recent codification, please identify SC Code section where language now appears.*

|                     |  |                 |    |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|----|
| <b>AGENCY NAME:</b> | Commission on Prosecution Coordination |                 |    |
| <b>AGENCY CODE:</b> | E210                                   | <b>SECTION:</b> | 60 |

## FORM D – PROVISIO REVISION REQUEST

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| <b>NUMBER</b>                    | 60.4<br><i>Cite the proviso according to the renumbered list for FY 2020-21 (or mark "NEW").</i>   |
| <b>TITLE</b>                     | <b>PCC: Solicitor Carry Forward</b><br><i>Provide the title from the FY 2019-20 Appropriations Act or suggest a short title for any new request.</i>   |
| <b>BUDGET PROGRAM</b>            | <b>I. Administration</b><br><b>II. Offices of Circuit Solicitors-Special Items</b><br><b>III. Employee Benefits</b><br><i>Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.</i>  |
| <b>RELATED BUDGET REQUEST</b>    | None<br><i>Is this request associated with a budget request you have submitted for FY 2020-21? If so, cite it here.</i>  |
| <b>REQUESTED ACTION</b>          | Amend<br><i>Choose from: Add, Delete, Amend, or Codify.</i>  |
| <b>OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED</b>   | None<br><i>Which other agencies would be affected by the recommended action? How?</i>  |
| <b>SUMMARY &amp; EXPLANATION</b> | <p><b>AMEND – Proviso 60.4 (PCC: Solicitor Carry Forward)</b> Existing proviso provides that carry forward funds may be expended for the operation of the solicitor’s office relating to operational expenses.</p> <p><b>SCCPC REQUEST:</b> AMEND proviso to recognize that carry forward funds may be used for the operation of the Commission on Prosecution Coordination or the Offices of Solicitor. This is a technical amendment only and does not affect calculations or distributions.</p> |

*Summarize the existing proviso. If requesting a new proviso, describe the current state of affairs without it. Explain the need for your requested action. For deletion requests due to recent codification, please identify SC Code section where language now appears.*

|                     |  |                 |    |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|----|
| <b>AGENCY NAME:</b> | Commission on Prosecution Coordination |                 |    |
| <b>AGENCY CODE:</b> | E210                                   | <b>SECTION:</b> | 60 |

**FORM D – PROVISO REVISION REQUEST**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| <b>NUMBER</b>                    | 60.pt (NEW)<br><i>Cite the proviso according to the renumbered list for FY 2020-21 (or mark "NEW").</i>  |
| <b>TITLE</b>                     | <b>PCC: Exemption for Pass Through Funding</b><br><i>Provide the title from the FY 2019-20 Appropriations Act or suggest a short title for any new request.</i>  |
| <b>BUDGET PROGRAM</b>            | <b>II. Offices of Circuit Solicitors (CURRENT)</b><br><b>III. Community Programs (PROPOSED NEW)</b><br><i>Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.</i>  |
| <b>RELATED BUDGET REQUEST</b>    | None<br><i>Is this request associated with a budget request you have submitted for FY 2020-21? If so, cite it here.</i>  |
| <b>REQUESTED ACTION</b>          | Add<br><i>Choose from: Add, Delete, Amend, or Codify.</i>  |
| <b>OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED</b>   | None<br><i>Which other agencies would be affected by the recommended action? How?</i>  |
| <b>SUMMARY &amp; EXPLANATION</b> | <p><b>ADD – Proviso 60.pt (PCC: Exemption for Pass Through Funding)</b> Exempt pass through funding distributed by the Commission on Prosecution Coordination that is allocated for the South Carolina Center for Fathers and Families or other community program, and not for the Offices of Solicitor, from budget reduction calculations.</p> <p>\$400,000 in general fund recurring appropriations is included within the Commission’s budget for direct pass-through distribution to a non-state entity, the South Carolina Center for Fathers and Families. This funding is not pursuant to a contract for services between the South Carolina Center for Fathers and Families and either the Commission or the Offices of Solicitor. Therefore, the Commission requests that pass-through appropriations included in its budget for distribution to the South Carolina Center for Fathers and Families or other community program not be considered part of the Commission’s budget for purposes of calculating any budget reductions. The South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense has a similar budget proviso, Proviso 61.8, regarding pass through funds for the Legal Services Corporation.</p> |

*Summarize the existing proviso. If requesting a new proviso, describe the current state of affairs without it. Explain the need for your requested action. For deletion requests due to recent codification, please identify SC Code section where language now appears.*

**South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination  
Five-Year Carry Forward Cash Balances**

| <b>Fiscal Year</b>                    | <b>FY 15</b>      | <b>FY 16</b>      | <b>FY 17</b>      | <b>FY 18</b>      | <b>FY 19</b>      | <b>FY 18 to FY 19<br/>Carry Forward<br/>Increase /<br/>(Decrease)</b> |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|
| 501014 <b>Executive Director</b>      | \$ -              | \$ -              | \$ -              | \$ 7,394          | \$ 27,336         | \$ 19,942   |
| 501060 <b>Unclassified</b>            | \$ 29,177         | \$ 21,854         | \$ 39,516         | \$ 13,303         | \$ 13,367         | \$ 64   |
| 501070 <b>Other Pers Services</b>     | \$ 2,330          | \$ 15,130         | \$ 64             | \$ -              | \$ 2,330          | \$ 2,330  |
| 512001 <b>Other Operating</b>         | \$ 753,833        | \$ 776,465        | \$ 718,122        | \$ 842,739        | \$ 782,090        | \$ (60,650)   |
| 501046 <b>Circuit Solicitor</b>       | \$ 2              | \$ 2              | \$ 5,522          | \$ 7              | \$ 7              | \$ -  |
| 501060 <b>Sol-Unclass AA</b>          | \$ 15,437         | \$ 34,284         | \$ 31,072         | \$ 25,781         | \$ 33,342         | \$ 7,561  |
| 512001 <b>Expense Allowance</b>       | \$ -              | \$ -              | \$ 161            | \$ -              | \$ -              | \$ -  |
| 513000 <b>Employer Contributions</b>  | \$ 75,074         | \$ 86,898         | \$ 102,163        | \$ 57,766         | \$ 51,448         | \$ (6,318)  |
| <b>Carry Forward Cash<br/>Balance</b> | <b>\$ 875,853</b> | <b>\$ 934,634</b> | <b>\$ 896,621</b> | <b>\$ 946,990</b> | <b>\$ 909,920</b> | <b>\$ (37,070)</b>  |

**South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination**

**Analysis of Carry Forward Cash Balance  
Expected Expenditures**

*Updated December 2019*

|   |  |                   |
|---|--|-------------------|
| <b>BEGINNING BALANCE</b>                                  | FY 20 Carry Forward Total                                | \$ 909,920        |
| <b>EXPENDITURES TO DATE</b>                               | Diversion Database Development (Phase I)                 | \$ (40,000)       |
| <b>EXPECTED FY 20 EXPENDITURES 01/01/2020 - 6/30/2020</b> | Annual Technology Increases & Software Services          | \$ (20,940)       |
|   | Current Website Maintenance                              | \$ (6,000)        |
|   | Agency FTE & Temporary Staff Salary & Fringe             | \$ (100,000)      |
|   | Diversion Database Development (Phase I)                 | \$ (120,000)      |
|   | Agency & Traffic Safety Resource Training Expenses       | \$ (33,000)       |
| <b>OTHER ALLOCATIONS</b>                                  | Diversion Database Development (Phase II)                | \$ (200,000)      |
|   | Projected FY 21 IRF Tort Liability Premium Increase      | \$ (13,770)       |
|   | Operational Expenses & Equipment                         | \$ (10,000)       |
| <b>REMAINING BALANCE</b>                                  | <b>Carry Forward Balance after Expected Expenditures</b> | <b>\$ 366,210</b> |

SC Commission on Prosecution Coordination  
Commission Office FTE Breakdown Analysis

*Updated December 2019*

|                               | <b>STATE</b>        | <b>OTHER</b>        | <b>FEDERAL</b>      | <b>TOTAL</b>        |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <i><b>FTEs Authorized</b></i> | <i><b>6.000</b></i> | <i><b>0.000</b></i> | <i><b>0.000</b></i> | <i><b>6.000</b></i> |
| Filled FTEs                   | 5.000               | 0.000               | 0.000               | 5.000               |
| Vacant FTEs                   | 1.000               | 0.000               | 0.000               | 1.000               |
| Total FTEs                    | 6.000               | 0.000               | 0.000               | 6.000               |
| Temporary                     | 0.000               | 0.000               | 0.000               | 0.000               |