**A** **BILL**

TO AMEND ACT 164 OF 2003, AS AMENDED, RELATING TO THE NINE DEFINED SINGLE‑MEMBER ELECTION DISTRICTS FROM WHICH THE MEMBERS OF THE UNION COUNTY BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES ARE ELECTED, SO AS TO REAPPORTION THESE SINGLE‑MEMBER ELECTION DISTRICTS, TO UPDATE THE MAP NUMBER ON WHICH THESE SINGLE‑MEMBER ELECTION DISTRICTS ARE DELINEATED, AND TO PROVIDE DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THE REAPPORTIONED ELECTION DISTRICTS.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of South Carolina:

SECTION 1. SECTION 1 of Act 164 of 2003, as last amended by Act 108 of 2015, is further amended to read:

“SECTION 1. (A) Notwithstanding another provision of law, beginning with the ~~2016~~ 2022 school district elections, the nine defined single‑member election districts from which each member of the Union County Board of School Trustees must reside in and be elected by the qualified electors of that district are as shown on the official map designated as ~~S‑87‑00‑15~~ S‑87‑00‑22 prepared by and on file with the Revenue and Fiscal Affairs Office. The Revenue and Fiscal Affairs Office shall provide a certified copy of the map to the school district and the Union County Board of Voter Registration and Elections. Only successors to those trustees whose terms expire in 2022 must be elected in the 2022 school district elections. The remaining incumbent members shall continue to serve until their current terms expire in 2024, and the successors to these members must be elected in the 2024 school district elections. The official map must not be changed except by an act of the General Assembly or by a court of competent jurisdiction.

(B) The demographic information shown on this map is as follows:

~~District~~ ~~Pop~~ ~~Dev.~~ ~~%Dev.~~  ~~NH\_WHT~~ ~~%NH\_WHT~~ ~~NH\_BLK~~ ~~%NH\_BLK~~

~~1~~ ~~3,012~~ ~~‑206~~  ~~‑6.40%~~ ~~1,268~~ ~~42.10%~~ ~~1,693~~ ~~56.21%~~

~~2~~ ~~3,292~~ ~~74~~ ~~2.30%~~ ~~2,396~~ ~~72.78%~~ ~~838~~ ~~25.46%~~

~~3~~ ~~3,212~~  ~~‑6~~  ~~‑0.19%~~ ~~2,506~~ ~~78.02%~~ ~~650~~ ~~20.24%~~

~~4~~  ~~3,309~~ ~~91~~ ~~2.83%~~ ~~2,279~~ ~~68.87%~~ ~~969~~ ~~29.28%~~

~~5~~ ~~3,304~~ ~~86~~ ~~2.67%~~ ~~2,900~~ ~~87.77%~~ ~~355~~ ~~10.74%~~

~~6~~ ~~3,230~~ ~~12~~ ~~0.37%~~ ~~2,421~~ ~~74.95%~~ ~~752~~ ~~23.28%~~

~~7~~ ~~3,186~~  ~~‑32~~  ~~‑0.99%~~ ~~915~~ ~~28.72%~~ ~~2,175~~ ~~68.27%~~

~~8~~ ~~3,261~~ ~~43~~ ~~1.34%~~ ~~2,187~~ ~~67.07%~~ ~~973~~ ~~29.84%~~

~~9~~ ~~3,155~~  ~~‑63~~  ~~‑1.96%~~ ~~2,274~~ ~~72.08%~~ ~~818~~ ~~25.93%~~

~~Total~~ ~~28,961~~ ~~19,146~~ ~~9,223~~

~~District~~ ~~VAP~~ ~~NHWVAP~~ ~~%NHWVAP~~  ~~NHBVAP~~ ~~%NHBVAP~~ ~~AllOth AllOthVAP~~

~~1~~ ~~2,360~~ ~~1,015~~ ~~43.01%~~ ~~1,304~~ ~~55.25%~~ ~~51~~ ~~41~~

~~2~~ ~~2,506~~ ~~1,910~~ ~~76.22%~~ ~~558~~ ~~22.27%~~ ~~58~~ ~~38~~

~~3~~ ~~2,512~~ ~~1,970~~ ~~78.42%~~ ~~514~~ ~~20.46%~~ ~~56~~ ~~28~~

~~4~~  ~~2,564~~ ~~1,835~~ ~~71.57%~~ ~~691~~ ~~26.95%~~ ~~61~~ ~~38~~

~~5~~ ~~2,566~~ ~~2,286~~ ~~89.09%~~ ~~249~~  ~~9.70%~~ ~~49~~ ~~31~~

~~6~~ ~~2,484~~ ~~1,916~~ ~~77.13%~~ ~~536~~ ~~21.58%~~ ~~57~~ ~~32~~

~~7~~ ~~2,364~~ ~~716~~ ~~30.29%~~ ~~1,591~~ ~~67.30%~~ ~~96~~ ~~57~~

~~8~~ ~~2,515~~ ~~1,736~~ ~~69.03%~~ ~~708~~ ~~28.15%~~ ~~101~~ ~~71~~

~~9~~ ~~2,490~~ ~~1,874~~ ~~75.26%~~ ~~575~~ ~~23.09%~~ ~~63~~ ~~41~~

~~Total~~ ~~22,361~~ ~~15,258~~ ~~6,726~~ ~~592~~ ~~377~~

District Pop. Dev. % Dev. Hisp. %Hisp.

1 2,954 ‑73 ‑2.41% 28 0.95%

2 2,947 ‑80 ‑2.64% 24 0.81%

3 3,003 ‑24 ‑0.79% 39 1.30%

4 3,137 110 3.63% 56 1.79%

5 3,165 138 4.56% 73 2.31%

6 3,172 145 4.79% 67 2.11%

7 3,078 51 1.68% 52 1.69%

8 2,882 ‑145 ‑4.79% 44 1.53%

9 2,906 ‑121 ‑4.00% 55 1.89%

Total 27,244 438 1.61%

District NHWhite %NHWhite NHDOJBlk %NHDOJ Blk VAP %VAP

1 1,158 39.20% 1,694 57.35% 2,406 81.45%

2 2,016 68.41% 841 28.54% 2,328 79.00%

3 2,265 75.42% 613 20.41% 2,425 80.75%

4 2,252 71.79% 740 23.59% 2,472 78.80%

5 2,634 83.22% 387 12.23% 2,471 78.07%

6 2,144 67.59% 880 27.74% 2,498 78.75%

7 1,047 34.02% 1,903 61.83% 2,249 73.07%

8 1,935 67.14% 835 28.97% 2,309 80.12%

9 1,828 62.90% 946 32.55% 2,264 77.91%

Total 17,279 63.42% 8,839 32.44% 21,422 78.63%

NHDOJ %NHDOJ

District HVAP %HVAP WVAP %NH WVAP BVAP % BVAP

1 12 0.50% 973 40.44% 1,375 57.15%

2 13 0.56% 1,641 70.49% 622 26.72%

3 19 0.78% 1,848 76.21% 483 19.92%

4 38 1.54% 1,825 73.83% 543 21.97%

5 48 1.94% 2,104 85.15% 262 10.60%

6 42 1.68% 1,769 70.82% 633 25.34%

7 23 1.02% 838 37.26% 1,344 59.76%

8 36 1.56% 1,588 68.77% 641 27.76%

9 33 1.46% 1,475 65.15% 700 30.92%

Total 264 1.23% 14,061 65.64% 6,603 30.82%”

SECTION 2. The exterior boundaries of the Union County School District are not altered by the provisions of this act. These school district lines are as defined by law and any census blocks which may be divided are done so only for statistical purposes and to establish a population base.

SECTION 3. This act takes effect upon approval by the Governor.
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